# Tamworth Borough Council

# Internal Audit Progress Report (Quarter 1) July 2022









### **Contents**

01 Introduction 02 Internal Audit Work Undertaken 03 Opinion 04 Follow Up

**05 Performance of Internal Audit** 

### **Appendices**

- 01 Summary of Internal Audit Work Undertaken
- **02 Assurance and Recommendation Classifications**

In the event of any questions arising from this report please contact Andrew Wood, Audit Manager andrew-wood@tamworth.gov.uk

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work. Whilst every care has been taken to ensure that the information provided in this report is as accurate as possible, Internal Audit have only been able to base findings on the information and documentation provided and consequently no complete guarantee can be given that this report is necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required. This report was produced solely for the use and benefit of Tamworth Borough Council. The Council accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification.

#### 01 INTRODUCTION

#### **BACKGROUND**

This report summarises internal audit activity and performance for the period to 30 June 2022.

#### SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF INTERNAL AUDIT

The Accounts and Audit Regulations require councils to undertake an effective internal audit to evaluate the effectiveness of their risk management, control and governance processes, taking into account Public Sector Internal Auditing Standards or guidance.

This progress report and opinion forms part of the framework of assurances that is received by the Council and is used to help inform the annual governance statement. Internal audit also has an independent and objective consultancy role to help managers improve risk management, governance and control.

Internal Audit's professional responsibilities as auditors are set out within Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) produced by the Internal Audit Standards Advisory Board.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Internal audit is grateful to the directors, heads of service, service managers and other staff throughout the council for their help during the period.

### **02 INTERNAL AUDIT WORK UNDERTAKEN**

The internal audit plan for 2022/23 was approved by the Audit & Governance Committee at its meeting in March 2022. The plan was for a total of 18 audits.

Much of quarter one work has been centred on completing annual reports, compliance statements (including the Annual Governance Statement) and supporting counter fraud checks on Coronavirus Business Grants and Energy Rebates.

The audit findings of each review, together with recommendations for action and the management response are set out in our detailed

reports. A summary of the reports we have issued and the progress made this year is included at **Appendix 01**.

### 03 OPINION

### SCOPE OF THE OPINION

In giving an opinion, it should be noted that assurance can never be absolute. The most that the internal audit service can provide to the Council is a reasonable assurance that there are no major weaknesses in risk management, governance and control processes.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required.

In arriving at an opinion, the following matters have been taken into account:

- The outcomes of all audit activity undertaken during the period.
- The effects of any material changes in the organisation's objectives or activities.
- Whether or not any limitations have been placed on the scope of internal audit.
- Whether there have been any resource constraints imposed upon us which may have impinged on our ability to meet the full internal audit needs of the organisation.
- What proportion of the organisation's internal audit needs have been covered to date.

### **INTERNAL AUDIT OPINION**

On the basis of audit work completed, the Audit Manager's opinion on the council's framework of governance, risk management and internal control is reasonable in its overall design and effectiveness. Certain weaknesses and exceptions were highlighted by audit work. These matters have been discussed with management, to whom recommendations have been made. All of these have been, or are in the process of being addressed.

### $\nabla$ Specific Issues

 $\overline{\Phi}$  No specific issues have been highlighted through the work undertaken  $\omega$  by internal audit during the period.

### Fraud & Irregularity

No matters of fraud or irregularity have been reported during the period. Also see the fraud update on this Committee's agenda.

### **Consultancy & Advice**

The audit team may be requested by managers to undertake consultancy and advice on governance, risk management and internal control matters from time to time. During the period to 30 June 2022, the following was undertaken:

- Attending disabled facilities grant working group;
- Test and Trace assurance statement; and
- Protect and Vaccinate assurance statement.

### 04 Follow Up

As previously agreed by the Committee, all high priority actions and those arising from no and limited overall assurance reports are followed up by audit, managers confirmation applies to the rest.

The total outstanding actions at the end of Quarter 1 are 60 (14 high, 35 medium, 11 low). During 2021/22 the Audit Manager held meetings with all Assistant Directors to review all outstanding recommendations. This has shown a specific improvement in the implementation and the number of recommendations, over time, has been shown within **Appendix 3** of this report, as requested by this committee. This shows in tabular graph form the progress regarding the number of outstanding audit recommendations over time.

The Audit Manager is currently holding meetings with all Assistant Directors to review outstanding recommendations as at 30<sup>th</sup> June 2022.

Priority	Number	Number	Number	Number	Overall
of Recs	of O/S	of recs	of	of	movement
	recs – 31	closed	additional	current	of rec
	March	during	recs	O/S recs	numbers
	2022	the	made	as at 30	during the
		period	during Q1	June	first
		April –		2022	quarter
		June			
		2022			
High	24	10	0	14	-10
Medium	51	20	4	35	-16
Low	18	11	4	11	-7

As at 30 June 2022 there were 14 high priority recommendations outstanding however of these 10 were overdue and these are being followed up during July/August 2022 as part of the Quarter 1 review with Assistant Directors.

The current high priority recommendations which are overdue relate Property Compliance (2), Housing Repairs (1), PCI DSS (2), Data Protection (3), Pentana (1) and Business Continuity (1). In all cases progress is being made on these recommendations and implementation will be reported to this committee.

### Compliance with professional standards

We employ a risk-based approach in planning and conducting our audit assignments. Internal audit work has been performed in accordance with PSIAS.

### **Conflicts of interest**

There have been no instances during the year which have impacted on internal audit's independence that have led to any declarations of interest.

## Performance of Internal Audit

### Internal audit quality assurance

To ensure the quality of the work internal audit performs, there is a programme of quality measures which includes:

- Supervision of staff conducting audit work.
- Review of files of working papers and reports by managers.
- Regular meetings of our networking groups, which issue technical and sector updates.

### **Performance Measures**

- Complete 90% of the audit plan 6% relates to assurance work completed in the period.
- 100% Draft reports issued within 6 weeks of start date 0% (No Audits completed)
- 100% Closure meetings conducted within 5 days of completion of audit work – 0% (No Audits completed)
- 100% draft reports to be issued within 10 working days of closure meeting – 100%
- 100% of all high priority actions are implemented at follow up – 100%
- All no and limited assurance reports have a revised assurance rating of substantial or reasonable on follow up – 100%
- Achieve an average customer satisfaction score of 4 or more – None returned

Scope

			Quarter		Opinion
Core Financial Systems		Risk based review covering the adequacy and effectiveness of controls around the maintenance of systems, billing, discounts and reliefs, collection, refunds and write offs.	Q2		
	Payroll	Risk based review to ensure controls in place for the timely and accurate payment of salaries and subsistence allowances to staff and members. To include, new starters, leavers, accounting for tax and deductions.	Q3		
1	Housing Rents	Risk based review of housing rents system to ensure correct rent charged, payments recorded, rent accounting systems are accurate. Controls in place for the setting up of rent debit.	Q3		
	Business Grants	Risk based review grants allocated to businesses to ensure compliance with award criteria and accurate/robust payment systems in place and operating. Proactive assessment processes in place prior to grant award. Robust processes in place for the repayment and recovery of grants.	Q1		
Strategic Operation Risks		Risk based review of the cemetery operations to ensure compliance with Health & Safety requirements, together with overall management controls of the service.	Q1-Q4	Draft Report	
	Recovery and Reset	Programme assurance based review of Recovery and Reset programme. Programme assurance includes programme planning, governance structure and controls, delivery,	Q3		

Planned

**Assurance Summary** 

Assurance

Jage 36

Assurance

Audit

Assurance	Audit	Scope	Planned Quarter	Assurance Summary	Assurance Opinion
		change management, RAIDD management (Risk, Action, Issue, Decision, Dependency), testing and reporting.			
	Future High Street Fund	Programme assurance review (as recovery and rest above) of progression towards transformation of the town centre following the £21.65m allocation of Future High Street Funding.	Q3		
	Housing White Paper – follow up	Risk based review of organisation implementation for new regulatory inspection regime.	Q3		
	Climate Change	Risk based review looking at the Council's preparation to decarbonisation / climate change agenda.	Q1		
	Income Management	Risk based review of the Council's controls around the management of income throughout the Council is ensure that this collected in a robust manner that accounts for monies received.	Q4		
	Project Management	Programme assurance based review of Council's Project Management systems. Programme assurance includes programme planning, governance structure and controls, delivery, change management, RAIDD management (Risk, Action, Issue, Decision, Dependency), testing and reporting.	Q3		
	Landlord Health & Safety	Compliance review to ensure that the Council maintains compliance	Q1	In progress	

J
ąc
Jе
ယ
$\infty$

Assurance	Audit	Scope	Planned Quarter	Assurance Summary	Assurance Opinion
		with relevant H&S legislation as it affects; asbestos, lifts, fire assessments and general H&S within housing stock – electrical/gas.			
	Events Management	Risk based review looking at key aspects of the council's operations in relation to the management of events, to include; bidding for external events, management of internal events.	Q2		
ICT	Web Portals	ICT review of the development and management of web portals to ensure that this is developed and rolled out in a consistent and managed manner. To ensure that web portals do not place Council systems at risk. Web Portal management is maintained and regularly updated.	Q2-Q4		
	GDPR	A risk based review to ensure compliance with GDPR legislation across the authority.	Q2-Q4		
	Disabled Facilities Grant	Assurance Statement	Q3		
	Municipal Charities	Preparation of municipal charities accounts	Q3		
	Pensions	Assurance Statement	Q4		
	Counter Fraud	Work to support the mitigation of fraud risk, the provision of fraud awareness training, pro-active fraud exercises and reactive investigations.	Q1-Q4	On-going	
	Annual Governance Statement	Production of the AGS	Q1-Q2		

				J
	2	١	Ó	
(	(		2	
	(	Į	)	
	C	ı	٠	)
	Č	(	j	)

Assurance	Audit	Scope	Planned Quarter	Assurance Summary	Assurance Opinion
	Annual Audit Opinion	Production of the Annual Audit Opinion	Q1-Q2		
	Management and Planning	Management, planning and assurance reporting to CMT and Audit & Governance Committee	Q1-Q4	On-going	
	Ad-hoc / Consultancy / Contingency	Contingency allocation to be utilised upon agreement of the Chief Finance Officer	Q1-Q4	On-going	
	IA QAIP and PSIAS	Review of PSIAS standards and review	Q3		
Additional Assurance Reviews	Protect and Vaccinate	Assurance review to enable sign off by the Chief Executive and S151 Officer		Complete	
requested by management	Test and Trace	Assurance review to enable sign off by the Chief Executive		Complete	
21/22 Planned Audits finalised	NNDR	Risk based review of NNDR including assurance over the adequacy of controls around the maintenance of systems recording taxable properties and liable persons, billing, discounts and reliefs, collection, refunds and write offs.		Controls were in place to mitigate against key risks. The Academy System maintains a record for each account of the total amount of income due to be received based on the rateable value of the property and any discounts/exemption if applicable. In addition it maintains a record of all transactions relating to bills issued, refunds made and income received, including if any reminder letters have been sent or summons issued. Sample testing relating to discounts/exemptions, refunds and arrears was conducted. In all cases appropriate documentation had been maintained to support the transactions and, where appropriate, action relating to arrears had occurred.  A reconciliation of daily NDR income received is conducted between the Academy System and the Aims System. A sample of 10 daily reconciliations were reviewed. In all cases any discrepancy had been investigated and the reason for the discrepancy noted. In addition a monthly reconciliation of NDR Income received is carried out	H-0 M-0 L-2 Substantial Assurance

Assurance	Audit	Scope	Planned Quarter	Assurance Summary	Assurance Opinion
				between the Academy system and the General Ledger. Four reconciliations were reviewed and in all cases no differences had been noted and all the reconciliations had been appropriately completed and authorised.  In addition, reconciliations of the total Rateable Value are carried out between the Academy system and Valuation Office listings and reconciliation details are appropriately maintained. For a sample of 5 VO lists there were no discrepancies identified. A secondary check had also been conducted for all cases where there had been a change in the Rateable Value compared to the previous list.  As per the previous audit report five recommendations had been made, out of which two were not agreed. For the three that had been agreed, it was confirmed that two had been actioned. However, one relating to periodic reviews of discounts applied had not been actioned and therefore the recommendation has been reiterated.  It was also noted that no current year write offs had been processed and an appropriate recommendation has been made.	
	Assets and Inventory	Risk based review covering the adequacy and effectiveness of controls regarding the Council's assets and inventory.		The audit was completed on five service areas (Sheltered Housing, Marmion House/Town Hall, TEC, Castle and IT). Information was not provided to the auditor for the Assembly Rooms, Street Scene and Leisure.  The asset and inventory system is designed well with controls in place to mitigate against the risks. Assurance can be given that the design of controls is adequate and the controls were found to be operating effectively.	H-0 M-4 L-2 Reasonable Assurance

Page 40

Assurance	Audit	Scope	Planned Quarter	Assurance Summary	Assurance Opinion
				The requirements of managing assets and inventory is noted in the Council's Financial Guidance Section C 11.	
				Service areas maintain records of equipment required to carry out their functions. The Council Asset Register is maintained in the Asset Manager system and access is restricted to Finance. The Asset Register is updated annually, service areas update their inventories at the time of any additions or disposals.	
				The Council Asset Register is maintained by the Assistant Director – Finance and is updated once a year as part of the Final accounts process although other registers such as Insurance Register are updated as and when necessary throughout the year. Again, as part of final accounts process asset	
				users are asked to verify that they still have and use the assets allocated to them. All responses from managers are collated by the Assistant Director Finance Property is secured when not in use as appropriate, locked rooms, CCTV, alarm systems, keys locked in secure cabinets. IT equipment is tagged with preprinted numbered tags that cannot be easily	
				removed.  Some areas for improvement were identified.	
				Inventory records are not fully completed and lack detail as required in the Financial Guidance. The IT Asset Register did not include detail of the employee allocated the equipment. The replacement of equipment is not reflected as a disposal / addition to the record. There was no	

ס
ag
е
42

Assurance	Audit	Scope	Planned Quarter	Assurance Summary	Assurance Opinion
				evidence to confirm that an annual verification of inventory had been completed. Inventories are not consistently security marked.  Implementation of the recommendations in the action plan will enhance arrangements and address these risks.	
Follow Up of No and Limited Assurance Opinion Audits					

### **Appendix 02: Assurance and Recommendation Classifications**

Overall Assurance Opinion	Definition
Substantial	There is a sound system of internal control designed to achieve the organisation's objectives. The control processes tested are being consistently applied.
Reasonable	While there is a basically sound system of internal control, there are some weaknesses which may put the organisation's objectives in this area at risk. There is a low level of non-compliance with some of the control processes applied.
Limited	Weaknesses in the system of internal controls are such as to put the organisation's objectives in this area at risk. There is a moderate level of non-compliance with some of the control processes applied.
No	Significant weakness in the design and application of controls mean that no assurance can be given that the organisation will meet its objectives in this area.

Recommendation Priority	Definition
High	High priority recommendation representing a fundamental control weakness which exposes the organisation to a high degree of unnecessary risk.
Medium	Medium priority recommendation representing a significant control weakness which exposes the organisation to a moderate degree of unnecessary risk.
Low (Housekeeping)	Low priority (housekeeping) recommendation highlighted opportunities to implement a good or better practice, to add value, improve efficiency of further reduce the organisation's exposure to risk.

### **Appendix 03: Outstanding Audit Recommendations**

As requested by this committee at its last meeting on 8 June 2022, a table has been included showing the total number of outstanding audit recommendations, over time.

Overall, there has been an improvement in the total number of outstanding audit recommendations at the end of each quarter. This has reduced from a total of 375 outstanding actions to the current number of 60 as at 30 June 2022. It should be noted that the movement of audit recommendations does vary as when audits are completed this increases the number of actions. Over time however the number of outstanding actions will decrease as recommendations are completed and reported by management.

At the end of Quarter 4 2019/2020 a new approach was agreed with this committee in relation to the follow up of audit recommendations and the reporting of these figures to committee. During this time the number of outstanding recommendations was not reported to management as this approach was defined, agreed and approved by Audit & Governance Committee in June 2021.

Prior to June 2021 work was undertaken to reduce the number of outstanding actions and since June 2021 this has includes one to one meetings with management to discuss progress of each audit recommendation. Additionally at the conclusion of each audit, we discuss with management, their proposed action plan to ensure that implementation is both timely and realistic.

Financial Year	Quarter	No of Outstanding	Comments	
		Recommendations		
2019/2020	Q2	375	No analysis available	
	Q3	199	101 high recs & 98 medium recs	
	Q4	No data	New approach agreed to provide details to Audit &	
			Governance Committee, see table below.	
2020/2021	Q1	No data	See above regarding new approach	
	Q2	69		
	Q3	84		
	Q4	116		
2021/2022	Q1	130		
	Q2	75		
	Q3	79		
	Q4	93		
2022/2023	Q1	60		

The above details from Q2 2019/20 is shown in the attached graph;



To inform the process I have included a table below outlining the number of outstanding actions whether High, Medium or Low Priority.

Below is a table showing the number of recommendations in each assurance level.

Financial	Quarter	No of Outstanding	No of High Recs	No of Medium Recs	No of Low recs
Year		Recommendations			
2019/2020	Q2	375			
	Q3	199	101	98	NIL
	Q4				
2020/2021	Q1				
	Q2	69	31	34	4
	Q3	84	30	40	14

U
Ø
Ó
$\boldsymbol{\Phi}$
4
ರಾ

	Q4	116	34	62	20
2021/2022	Q1	130	34	74	22
	Q2	75	24	39	12
	Q3	79	25	46	8
	Q4	93	24	51	18
2022/2023	Q1	60	14	35	11