

## Preliminary Options Appraisal – Summary of SWOT Analysis

	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Option 5	Option 6
Methodology	Commingled	Commingled	Dual Stream	Dual Stream	Dual Stream	Dual Stream
Container	Single Bin	Single Bin	Two Bins	Two Bins	Bin and Bag	Bin and Bag
Vehicle	Single body	Single body	Single body	Single body	Split body	Split body
Frequency	Fortnightly	Fortnightly	Alternate Four Weekly	Alternate Four Weekly	Fortnightly	Fortnightly
Disposal Responsibility	District	County Council	District	County Council	District	County Council
Strengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Simple methodology</li> <li>Popular with residents</li> <li>High collection productivity</li> <li>No extra crews required</li> <li>Lower vehicle costs</li> <li>Recycling credit and income from materials</li> <li>No additional containers</li> <li>Communication campaign not required</li> <li>Less manual handling implications</li> <li>Bin only- less litter and keeps materials dry</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Simple methodology</li> <li>Popular with residents</li> <li>High collection productivity</li> <li>No extra crew required</li> <li>Lower vehicle costs</li> <li>No gate fees</li> <li>No additional containers</li> <li>Less manual handling implications</li> <li>Bin only- less litter and keeps materials dry</li> <li>Monitoring and contact issues dealt by County</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Higher material quality as card/paper separate</li> <li>More income</li> <li>High collection productivity</li> <li>No extra crews required</li> <li>Lower gate fees</li> <li>Recycling credit and income from materials</li> <li>Lower vehicle costs</li> <li>Service refresh to boost recycling</li> <li>Less rejections</li> <li>Less manual handling implications</li> <li>Bin only- less litter and keeps materials dry</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Higher material quality as paper/card separate</li> <li>More income</li> <li>High collection productivity</li> <li>No extra crews required</li> <li>No gate fees</li> <li>Lower vehicle costs</li> <li>Service refresh to boost recycling</li> <li>Less rejections</li> <li>Less manual handling implications</li> <li>Bin only- less litter and keeps materials dry</li> <li>Monitoring and contact issues dealt by County</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Higher material quality as card/paper separate</li> <li>More income</li> <li>Lower gate fees</li> <li>Recycling credit and income from materials</li> <li>Bags cheaper and extra recycling capacity</li> <li>Less storage issues</li> <li>Service refresh to boost recycling</li> <li>Less rejections</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Higher material quality as card/paper separate</li> <li>More income</li> <li>No gate fees</li> <li>Bags cheaper and extra recycling capacity</li> <li>Less storage issues</li> <li>Service refresh to boost recycling</li> <li>Less rejections</li> <li>Monitoring and contact issues dealt by County</li> </ul>
Weakness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Very high gate fees</li> <li>Lower material quality and less income</li> <li>Cost of rejected loads</li> <li>Time and expense of monitoring contract</li> <li>No additional capacity</li> <li>No service refresh</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No income from Recycling Credit and materials.</li> <li>Lower material quality</li> <li>No additional capacity</li> <li>No service refresh</li> <li>Miss out on any EPR and County Council incentives for retaining disposal.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cost of second bin</li> <li>Storage of second bin</li> <li>Public dissatisfaction with change.</li> <li>No additional capacity as four week gap.</li> <li>Time and expense of monitoring contract.</li> <li>Communication campaign required.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No income from Recycling Credit and materials</li> <li>Cost of second bin</li> <li>Storage of second bin</li> <li>Public dissatisfaction with change.</li> <li>No additional capacity as four week gap</li> <li>Communication campaign required.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower collection productivity</li> <li>Extra crews required</li> <li>Higher vehicle costs</li> <li>Public dissatisfaction with change.</li> <li>Cost of bag/short life</li> <li>Litter issues with bag</li> <li>Manual handling issues</li> <li>Time and expense of monitoring contract.</li> <li>Communication campaign required.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No income from Recycling Credit and materials</li> <li>Extra crews required</li> <li>Lower collection productivity</li> <li>Higher vehicle costs</li> <li>Public dissatisfaction with change.</li> <li>Cost of bag/short life</li> <li>Litter issues with bag</li> <li>Manual handling issues</li> <li>Communication campaign required.</li> </ul>
Opportunities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EPR and County may incentivise retaining disposal.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>County may take on responsibility for rejected loads</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EPR incentive for better quality as no commingling</li> <li>EPR and County may incentivise retaining disposal.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EPR incentive for better quality as no commingling</li> <li>County may take on responsibility for rejected loads.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EPR incentive for better quality as no commingling</li> <li>EPR and County may incentivise retaining disposal.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EPR incentive for better quality as no commingling</li> <li>County may take on responsibility for rejected loads.</li> </ul>
Threats	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May not get any bids</li> <li>Increased distance to tipping locations.</li> <li>Market volatility affecting income</li> <li>Increase in rejections</li> <li>Deposit return scheme</li> <li>Contractual disputes</li> <li>EPR payments may be lower for commingling</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May not get any bids</li> <li>Increased distance to tipping locations.</li> <li>Increase in rejections</li> <li>Deposit return scheme</li> <li>EPR payments may be lower for commingling and transferring disposal responsibility.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited number of bids</li> <li>Increased distance to tipping locations.</li> <li>Market volatility affecting income</li> <li>Deposit return scheme</li> <li>Contractual disputes</li> <li>National Policy may limit gap between collections to two weeks.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited number of bids</li> <li>Increased distance to tipping locations.</li> <li>Deposit return scheme</li> <li>EPR payments may be lower for transferring disposal responsibility</li> <li>National Policy may limit gap between collections to two weeks.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited number of bids</li> <li>Increased distance to tipping locations.</li> <li>Market volatility affecting income</li> <li>Deposit return scheme</li> <li>Contractual disputes</li> <li>Risk of rejection if paper/card gets wet in bag</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited number of bids</li> <li>Increased distance to tipping locations.</li> <li>Deposit return scheme</li> <li>EPR payments may be lower for transferring disposal responsibility</li> <li>Risk of rejection if paper/card gets wet in bag</li> </ul>

EPR – Extended Producer Responsibility which is a proposal included with the Draft National Waste Strategy