

Community Impact Assessment

Tamworth
Borough Council

Part 1 – Details

What Policy/ Procedure/ Strategy/Project/Service is being assessed?	Refusal of request for Public Space Protection Order – gate restriction, footpath from Silver Link Road to Carisbrooke	
Date Conducted	29 November 2019	
Name of Lead Officer and Service Area	Jo Sands, Assistant Director Partnerships	
Commissioning Team (if applicable)		
Director Responsible for project/service area	Rob Barnes	
Who are the main stakeholders	Residents of Tamworth and householders in Carisbrooke	
Describe what consultation has been undertaken. Who was involved and what was the outcome	Police – evidence of criminality and calls Ward Councillors – evidence of issues and support Community Wardens – reports of ASB, littering Street Scene – cleansing frequency and issue All evidence from Summer 2019	
Outline the wider research that has taken place (E.G. commissioners, partners, other providers etc)		
What are you assessing? Indicate with an 'x' which applies	A decision to review or change a service	<input type="checkbox"/>
	A Strategy/Policy/Procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>
	A function, service or project	X
What kind of assessment is it? Indicate with an 'x' which applies	New	X
	Existing	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Being reviewed	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Being reviewed as a result of budget constraints / End	<input type="checkbox"/>

Part 2 – Summary of Assessment

Give a summary of your proposal and set out the aims/ objectives/ purposes/ and outcomes of the area you are impact assessing.

Residents have placed a request for a gate to be placed across a footpath accessing their cul-de-sac due to anti-social behaviour and due to their vulnerability and that or their properties

Who will be affected and how?

Evidence has indicated that a

Are there any other functions, policies or services linked to this impact assessment?

Yes No

If you answered 'Yes', please indicate what they are?

Staffordshire Police

Part 3 – Impact on the Community

Thinking about each of the Areas below, does or could the Policy function, or service have a direct impact on them?

Impact Area	Yes	No	Reason (provide brief explanation)
Age	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refusal may have an impact on the declared concerns of the residents who indicate vulnerability.
Disability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Where a gate is in place across a public access path this may directly discriminate those with disability
Gender Reassignment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Marriage & Civil Partnership	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Pregnancy & Maternity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted access to walkways through existing estate can affect routes
Race	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Religion or belief	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Sexual orientation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Sex	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Gypsy/Travelling Community	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Those with Caring/Dependent responsibilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Those having an offending past	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Children	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Closure of the this path may require children to walk along a main road to access a subway and school route endangering safety
Vulnerable Adults	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stated perception of vulnerability may affect their well being if gate access denied
Families	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safer walking route affected if closure is to take place
Those who are homeless	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Those on low income	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Those with Drug or Alcohol problems	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Those with Mental Health issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Those with Physical Health issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
Other (Please Detail)	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	In some areas, the gates give a negative perception of the local area

Part 4 – Risk Assessment

From evidence given from previous question, please detail what measures or changes will be put in place to mitigate adverse implications

Impact Area	Details of the Impact	Action to reduce risk
<i>Eg: Families</i>	<i>Families no longer supported which may lead to a reduced standard of living & subsequent health issues</i>	<i>Signposting to other services. Look to external funding opportunities.</i>
Wider community	There is a need to provide alternative routes when highways are gated and these can sometimes be longer in distance, and extend journey times for users in some cases.	Maintain access at this point with reviews as necessary
Residents	Perception on ongoing vulnerability and concern	Ensure that the residents are aware of reporting routes for anti-social behaviour through the Police and Council

		Ongoing monitoring in place to address issues as they are reported
Residents	Continued rubbish and overgrown state of pathways	Streetscene aware of concerns and included in cleansing and maintenance routes

Part 5 - Action Plan and Review

Detail in the plan below, actions that you have identified in your CIA, which will eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and/or foster good relations.

If you are unable to eliminate or reduce negative impact on any of the impact areas, you should explain why

Impact (positive or negative) identified	Action	Person(s) responsible	Target date	Required outcome
	Outcomes and Actions entered onto Covalent			

Date of Review (If applicable)